Simultaneous estimation of fluticasone propionate, azelastine hydrochloride, phenylethyl alcohol and benzalkonium chloride by RP-HPLC method in nasal sprays
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ABSTRACT

A simple, reproducible and accurate efficient reverse phase high performance liquid chromatographic method was developed for simultaneous estimation of Fluticasone propionate (FLP), Azelastine Hydrochloride (AZH), Phenylethyl alcohol (PEA) and Benzalkonium chloride (BKC) in combined nasal spray preparations. Formulations containing FLP with AZH are used as antihistamine-corticosteroid combination available as a metered spray formulation for nasal or intranasal administration. Chromatography was performed on a 250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5-μm particle size, Waters Spherisorb CN column with a 55:45 (v/v) mixture of buffer and acetonitrile as a mobile phase. The detection of the combined dosage form was carried out at 215 nm and flow rate employed was 1.0 ml/min. The retention times were 3.380, 4.855, 6.647 & 10.175, 12.179 min for Phenylethyl alcohol, Fluticasone propionate, Azelastine Hydrochloride and Benzalkonium chloride (C12,C14), respectively. Linearity was established in the concentration range 70.0 to 120.0 μg/ml for Phenylethyl alcohol, 14.0 to 24.0 μg/ml for Fluticasone propionate, 39.2 to 67.2 μg/ml for Azelastine Hydrochloride and in the range 2.8 to 4.8 μg/ml for Benzalkonium chloride, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9961, 0.9992, 0.997 and 0.9997, respectively. The results of the analysis were validated statistically and recovery studies confirmed the accuracy and precision of the proposed method.
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INTRODUCTION

Fluticasone propionate and Azelastine Hydrochloride Nasal Spray is an antihistamine-corticosteroid combination available as a metered spray formulation for intranasal administration. It contains azelastine hydrochloride, which is a second generation H1 receptor-antagonist with potent topical activity. Chemically it is known as 4-((4-Chlorophenyl)methyl)-2-(hexahydro-1-methyl-1H-azepin-4-yl)-(2H)-phthalazinone hydrochloride. Azelastine hydrochloride occurs as a white, almost odorless, crystalline powder with a bitter taste. It has a molecular weight of 418.37. Fluticasone propionate, a synthetic corticosteroid with anti-inflammatory properties, Chemically it is known as (6α,11β-16α,17β)-6,9-Difluoro-11-hydroxy-16-methyl-3-oxo-17-(1-oxo-propoxy)androsta-1,4-diene-17-carbothioic acid S-(fluoromethyl) ester. Fluticasone propionate is a white to off-white powder with a molecular weight of 500.58. Benzalkonium chloride is chemically known as alkyl(dimethyl (phenylmethyl) ammonium chloride. Three major homologues consist of C12, C14 and C16 straight chain alkyls. It acts as a Pharmaceutical aid (preservative), Algeside. (The Merck Index, 3, 2006, British pharmacopoeia, United states pharmacopoeia, Jadwiga Dudkiewicz-Wilczynska., et al., 2004; Louis-Philippe et al., 2007). Phenylethyl alcohol is chemically known as 2 – phenyl ethanol. Its molecular weight is 122.16. It acts as a Pharmaceutical aid (antimicrobial). (The Merck Index, 3, 2006). In this formulation FLP and AZH was drug substance where as PEA and BKC used as a preservative. All four peaks to be quantified in the formulation. There are very few methods appearing in the literature for the simultaneous estimation of Fluticasone propionate with other combinational drugs (J. L. Bernal et al., 1998; Murnane et al., 2006; Nichole L. Korpi-Steiner., 2010; Sriram Krishnaswami., 2000). Since these methods were based on HPLC, Capillary electrophoresis, UV spectrophotometer and LC-MS/MS. Azelastine hydrochloride also with other combination drugs was appearing in the literature in human plasma (Pivonka J et al., 1987).
The chemical structures of Phenylethyl alcohol, Benzalkonium chloride, Fluticasone propionate and Azelastine Hydrochloride are shown in Fig. 1.

**MATERIALS & METHODS**

**Reagents and chemicals**

HPLC-grade acetonitrile and potassium dihydrogen ortho phosphate analytical grade were procured from Rankem (Mumbai, India) and pure standards of Phenylethyl alcohol (99.9%), Benzalkonium chloride (95.76%), Fluticasone propionate (98.95%) and Azelastine Hydrochloride (99.46%) was obtained from Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories Ltd. HPLC grade water was prepared by using Millipore Milli Q plus purification system. The 0.45µm-nylon filter was obtained from Advanced Micro Devices Pvt. Ambala Cantt, India. Waters Spherisorb CN column was procured from Waters Associates, Inc.

**Instrumentation and Chromatographic Conditions**

Chromatography was performed with a Agilent technologies 1100 LC (Germany), gradient pump with inbuilt auto injector, variable wavelength detector and Waters Alliance HPLC system (Milford, USA) equipped with a 2695 separation module with inbuilt auto injector and 2996 photodiode array detector. Waters Spherisorb CN column (250 x 4.6nm, 5-µm particles) was used for chromatographic separation under suitable condition. Detection was carried out using a UV spectrophotometric detector at 215 nm and the software used was waters Empower2. The mobile phase was a 55:45 (v/v) mixture of freshly prepared buffer (50mM of potassium dihydrogen ortho phosphate) and acetonitrile. The mobile phase was sonicated and degassed before use. The diluent was mixture of water and acetonitrile in the ratio of 40:60 (v/v). The flow rate of mobile phase was maintained at 1.0 ml/min. The column temperature was maintained at ambient conditions. The injection volume was 20 µL and total run time was 20 min. The peaks were identified by retention time; a typical chromatogram is shown in Fig. 2.
Mixed standard Preparation

Standard stock solution of AZH (1400 μg/ml), FLP (500 μg/ml) PEA (2500 μg/ml) and BKC (100 μg/ml) was prepared individually in diluent. 2.0ml of the each stock solution was pipetted out into 50ml volumetric flask and diluted to volume with diluent to achieve a final concentration of AZH (56.6μg/ml), FLP (19.8 μg/ml) PEA (100 μg/ml) and BKC (4 μg/ml). A system suitability test was performed for five replicate standard injections.

Sample preparation

Shaken and mixed the contents of 3 bottles (7ml each) in 100ml volumetric flask. Weighed accurately a quantity of nasal spray solution equivalent to 2ml into a 50ml volumetric flask. Added about 30ml diluent, sonicated for about 20 minutes and then diluted to volume with diluent to achieve the sample concentration of AZH (56.6 μg/ml), FLP (19.8 μg/ml) PEA (100 μg/ml) and BKC (4 μg/ml). Filter through a 0.45µm nylon filter. A typical chromatogram obtained from a sample solution of assay is shown in Fig. 2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Method development

The objective of this study was to develop a method for simultaneous estimation of four components AZH, FLP, PEA and BKC under isocratic conditions. The mobile phase used was the mixture of acetonitrile with phosphate buffer in different ratios. Finally a mixture of acetonitrile-phosphate buffer in the ratio of 45:55 (v/v), proved to be effective mixture than the other mixture used for the separation. Then the flow rate tested includes 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 and 1.5 ml/min. Among the flow rates 1.0 ml/min was selected for the assay because of better visibility and resolution of the peaks. The final chromatographic conditions revealed to provide better resolution among Phenylethyl alcohol, Fluticasone propionate, Azelastine Hydrochloride and Benzalkonium chloride peaks i.e greater than 2.0. Number of theoretical plates and tailing factor for each individual peak was more than 2000 and less than 2.0, respectively.
The optimum wavelength for detection was 215 nm, no indigenous interfering compounds eluted at the retention times of the drugs. Labeled amount of the drugs present in nasal spray preparations are given in Table.1.

**Validation of the Method**

The method was validated, in accordance with ICH guidelines (ICH Q2B), for precision, accuracy, linearity, specificity, ruggedness and robustness.

**Linearity**

Linearity was obtained in the concentration range 70.0 to 120.0 µg/mL for Phenylethyl alcohol, 14.0 to 24.0 µg/ml for Fluticasone propionate, 39.2 to 67.2 µg/ml for Azelastine Hydrochloride and in the range 2.8 to 4.8 µg/ml for Benzalkonium chloride. Linearity was performed with the aid of serially diluted calibration solutions from 70% to 120% of the target concentration. Calibration graphs were plotted on the basis of analysis of each calibration solutions. The coefficient of regression obtained was 0.9961, 0.9992, 0.997 and 0.9997, respectively for PEA, FLP, AZH and BKC. The slope obtained was 50988, 3883, 79373 and 854, respectively for PEA, FLP, AZH and BKC.

**Precision and intermediate precision**

The method was found to be precise with six sample preparations for the quantification of PEA, FLP, AZH and BKC. The precision was also studied on different day. The precision and intermediate precision variation was calculated in terms of percentage relative standard deviation and the results of PEA, FLP, AZH and BKC were found to be less than 2.0% and the results are given in Table. 1.

**Accuracy**

Accuracy was determined by the method of spiking the standard stock solution in placebo at six different levels, by multiple level recovery studies. Solution containing 2500 µg/ml PEA, 500 µg /ml FLP, 1400 µg/ml AZH and 100 µg/ml BKC standard stock solutions was prepared
and spiked with amounts of the standard drugs equivalent to 70, 80, 90, 100, 110 and 120% of the amounts present in the original solution. These solutions were then analyzed for recovery studies and the average recoveries ranged from 97% to 106%. Results for determination of accuracy are presented in Table 2 and 3.

**Specificity**

**Placebo interference**

Specificity was tested against standard compounds and against potential interferences in the presence of placebo. No interference was detected at the retention time of PEA, FLP, AZH and BKC (C12, C14) in placebo solution.

**Forced degradation**

The Forced degradation of placebo and formulation was carried out as per ICH guidelines (ICH, Q2B) in acid, base, oxidation and water. The acid, base, oxidation and water stress studies were carried out by refluxing sample & placebo into individual flasks at 60°C for half an hour with 10ml 0.1N HCl, 0.1N NaOH, 1% hydrogen peroxide and water respectively. The drug and the formulation were found to be stable under all the stress conditions. All the stress conditions with purity angle, purity threshold and purity flag results are reported in Table 4 to Table 8. Purity plots are shown in Fig. 3 to Fig. 7.

**Solution stability**

To demonstrate the stability of both standard and sample solutions during analysis, both solutions were analyzed over a period of 24 h at room temperature. The results showed that for all the solutions, the retention times and peak areas of PEA, FLP, AZH and BKC remained almost unchanged (RSD<2.0%) indicating that no significant degradation occurred within this period, i.e. both solutions were stable for at least 24 h, which was sufficient to complete the whole analytical process.
**Ruggedness and Robustness**

The ruggedness of the method was determined by using different instruments (Waters 2489). The robustness of the method was determined by making slight changes in the chromatographic conditions ie organic phase composition ± 10%, flow rate ±0.2 ml/min and column oven temperature ± 5°C. These results indicated that the method was rugged and robust with regard to these conditions. However, proper resolution also been achieved; separation of the drugs was very robust to the mobile phase ratio, flow rate and column oven temperature.

System suitability tests are an integral part of chromatographic method. They were used to verify that the reproducibility of the chromatographic system is adequate for the analysis. To ascertain its effectiveness, system suitability tests were carried out on freshly prepared standard stock solution of Phenylethyl alcohol, Fluticasone propionate, Azelastine Hydrochloride and Benzalkonium chloride. In addition, relative standard deviation of PEA, FLP, AZH and BKC peaks were evaluated by injecting mixed standard of the PEA, FLP, AZH and BKC (100, 20, 56.6 and 4.0 μg/ml).

**CONCLUSION**

The proposed RP-HPLC method for simultaneous assay of Phenylethyl alcohol, Fluticasone propionate, Azelastine Hydrochloride and Benzalkonium chloride in combined nasal spray preparations is simple, precise, specific, highly accurate and less time consumption for analysis could be recorded. So, it can definitely be employed for the routine and stability study analysis. Hence this RP-HPLC method is suitable for quality control of raw materials and formulations.
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Figure 1: Chemical structures of Azelastine Hydrochloride

Figure 2: Typical chromatogram obtained from assay sample
Table 1: Results from validation and system suitability studies of the method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method characteristic</th>
<th>Phenyl ethyl alcohol</th>
<th>Fluticasone Propionate</th>
<th>Azelastine HCL</th>
<th>Benza-lkonium chloride (C12)</th>
<th>Benza-lkonium chloride (C14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical plates</td>
<td>7810</td>
<td>7292</td>
<td>9342</td>
<td>12050</td>
<td>12598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailing factor</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% RSD for six injec-tions</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (RSD(%))*</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision (RSD(%))**</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Preci-sion (RSD(%))**</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Results are mean of three sample preparations with six different drug concentration levels.

** Results are mean of six sample preparations.

***Results are mean of total area of benzalkonium chloride.

Table 2: Accuracy results of Phenylethyl alcohol and Fluticasone propionate in nasal spray preparations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Spike level</th>
<th>Phenylethyl alcohol</th>
<th>Fluticasone propionate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>µg/ml added</td>
<td>µg/ml found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>102.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>111.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>120.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table caption should be on top. Not exceeding two lines or 20 words. Should be small letter starting from Table 1:

Place abbreviations and footnotes immediately below the table